



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

221

RSA-2463-2001 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-09.04.2026

Satpal SinghAppellant
Versus

Lakha Singh and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: None for the appellant.

Ms. Rishu Bajaj, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Vide order dated 10.03.2026, learned counsel for the appellant submitted before this Court that he was not in contact with the appellant and prayed that notice be issued to the appellant.
2. Today, none has put in appearance on behalf of the appellant and as per the office report, the appellant could not be served on account of his incorrect and incomplete address.
3. In view of the above, and considering the fact that the present appeal pertains to the year 2001, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution, with liberty to the appellant to seek revival of the same, if so advised, as per law.
3. Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

09.04.2026
anil

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No

2026:PHHC:055358

