



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

RSA-2442-2001

Decided on : 01.07.2026

AJIT SINGH

... PETITIONER

VERSUS

JASVIR KAUR

... RESPONDENTS


CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

PRESENT: Mr. M.K. Singla, Advocate and Mr. Dinesh Kumar, Advocate
for the appellant.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

1. Today, learned counsel for the appellant has placed on record copy of judgment and decree dated 18.02.2026 passed in HMA-189-2025 titled '**Jasvir Kaur Vs. Ajit Singh**', decided by learned Additional Principal Judge, Family Court (Camp Court), Sunam, which is taken on record, according to which the parties have got their marriage dissolved by mutual consent. It has been further agreed that a sum of Rs.4,00,000/- has been paid to the wife towards her past, present and future maintenance. It has been further settled that the wife will not claim any maintenance and will not have any right in the property of husband in future and they will not initiate any proceedings i.e. civil or criminal cases against each other and they will withdraw all the pending litigations, if any.

2. In the present case, a suit was filed by respondent-Jasvir Kaur (wife) for recovery of maintenance allowance by way of creation of charge on the land owned by defendant-husband who is the appellant and the suit was dismissed. However, the wife preferred an appeal which was allowed vide judgment dated 20.03.2001 in Civil Appeal No.33 dated 18.12.2000



by District Judge, Sangrur and the judgment and decree passed by the Trial Court was set aside. Suit filed by the plaintiff-wife was decreed with costs and it was ordered that amount of maintenance shall be a charge on the property of defendant-respondent. Against the aforesaid judgment and decree dated 20.03.2001 passed by learned Appellate Court, the present Regular Second Appeal has been instituted. Now, all the disputes have been amicably resolved between the parties and they have agreed to withdraw all the pending litigation against each other as also observed in case No.HMA-189-2025.

3. Resultantly, the present appeal is allowed and the judgment and decree passed by the First Appellate Court dated 20.03.2001 is set aside and the suit filed by the respondent-plaintiff stands dismissed.
4. Pending application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

01.07.2026

Vishal Vardhan

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*