



CWP-10238-2026

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-10238-2026

Date of decision: 06.04.2026

AMIT KUMAR AND OTHERS

....PETITIONERS

Versus

HARYANA STATE OF POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD AND
OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Anupal Singh Tanwar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sukhdeep Singh Parmar, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

SHEEL NAGU, C.J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners essentially seek the following directions :

“i) for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the Respondents Authorities to forthwith inspect the site and stop/seal the illegal factory and generator being run by Respondent No.4 in the residential area of Durga Colony, near Hind High School, Bhiwani; in the interest of justice and equity.

ii) Further, for Issuance of appropriate directions to the Respondent Authorities to remove the illegally installed generator, machinery and industrial material from the residential street/public passage;

iii) For issuance of a writ of Mandamus directing the Respondents Authorities to decide the Petitioners impugned representation dated 08.02.2026 as (Annexure P-2) within a time-bound period and to take action strictly in accordance with law;

iv) For issuance of a further writ in the nature of Mandamus, pending final disposal of the present writ petition, that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to stay the operation and functioning of the illegal and unauthorised factory and generator being run by Respondent No.4 in the



CWP-10238-2026

2

residential area of Durga Colony, near Hind High School, Bhiwani, in the interest of justice and to prevent further environmental degradation and irreparable harm to the health and safety of the residents., in the interest of justice.
(v) *Pass any other order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case."*

2. The petitioners happen to be residents of the Durga Colony, Bhiwani, which is situated/enclosed in the vicinity to the textile unit of respondent No.4.
3. The petitioners are aggrieved by the fact that no action has been taken against the said textile unit of respondent No.4 which is running in violation of environmental norms.
4. Learned counsel for respondents No.1 to 3, on advance notice, appears and informs that upon the complaint made by the petitioners, an inspection has already been carried out on 09.02.2026, and no substantial deficiency has been found in the textile unit run by respondent No.4.
5. It would be appropriate that respondents No.1 to 3 pass a speaking order on the complaint made by the petitioners vide Annexure P-2, so that the petitioners can avail of remedies available in law, if so aggrieved by the said decision.
6. Let the same be done within 15 days from today and communicate the same to the petitioners.
7. Disposed of.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

06.04.2026
kanika

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE