



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.17768 of 2026
Date of decision: 29.04.2026**

Sunil Kapoor and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANDEEP PANNU

Present :- Mr. Sushant Mahajan, Advocate and
Mr. Vinay Kumar Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Navraj S. Mahal, DAG, Punjab
for respondent No.1-State.

Ms. Aditi Chaudhary, Advocate for
Ms. Divay Gupta, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

MANDEEP PANNU, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a petition under Section 528 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for quashing of FIR No.54, dated 27.02.2026 under Sections 333, 115(2), 117(2), 299, 191(3) and 190 BNS (corresponding to Sections 452, 323, 325, 295-A, 148 and 149 IPC) and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Division No.6, Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana (Annexure P-1), with all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 13.03.2026 (Annexure P-2).
2. During the pendency of the dispute, the parties have compromised the matter and filed the present petition for quashing of FIR.



3. Vide order dated 06.04.2026, parties were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court and report with regard to the genuineness of the compromise was called for.

4. The report dated 22.04.2026 has been received from learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ludhiana, stating that the parties have entered into a compromise, which is voluntary and without any pressure or undue influence.

5. Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, has held:-

“29. The only inevitable conclusion from the above discussion is that there is no statutory bar under the Cr.P.C. which can affect the inherent power of this Court under Section 482. Further, the same cannot be limited to matrimonial cases alone and the Court has the wide power to quash the proceedings even in noncompoundable offences notwithstanding the bar under Section 320 of the Cr.P.C., in order to prevent the abuse of law and to secure the ends of justice.

30. The power under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is to be exercised Ex-Debitia Justitia to prevent an abuse of process of Court. There can neither be an exhaustive list nor the defined para-meters to enable a High Court to invoke or exercise its inherent powers. It will always depend upon the facts and circumstances of each case. The power under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. has no limits. However, the High Court will exercise it sparingly and with utmost care and caution. The exercise of power has to be with circumspection and restraint. The Court is a vital and an extra-ordinary effective instrument to maintain and control social order. The Courts play role of paramount importance in



achieving peace, harmony and ever-lasting congeniality in society. Resolution of a dispute by way of a compromise between two warring groups, therefore, should attract the immediate and prompt attention of a Court which should endeavour to give full effect to the same unless such compromise is abhorrent to lawful composition of the society or would promote savagery.”

6. The legal principles as laid down for quashing of the judgment were also approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of '**Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and another,(2012) 10 SCC 303'**.

7. It is evident that in view of the amicable resolution of the issues amongst the parties, no useful purpose would be served by continuation of the proceedings. The furtherance of the proceedings is likely to be a waste of judicial time and there appears to be no chance of conviction.

8. In view of above, FIR No.54, dated 27.02.2026 under Sections 333, 115(2), 117(2), 299, 191(3) and 190 BNS (corresponding to Sections 452, 323, 325, 295-A, 148 and 149 IPC) and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Commissionerate Division No.6, Ludhiana (Annexure P-1), with all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, is quashed qua the petitioners, on the basis of compromise dated 13.03.2026 (Annexure P-2).

9. The present petition is hereby allowed.

10. All pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

29.04.2026
neetu

(MANDEEP PANNU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No