

106 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2026.PHHC.050022



CRM-M-17258-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 01.04.2026

VICKY ... PETITIONER
VERSUS
STATE OF HARYANA ... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Ankur Mehta, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (erstwhile Section 438 Cr.P.C.) in FIR No.65 dated 03.03.2026, under Sections 21, 29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act at Police Station Mahesh Nagar, District Ambala.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on the basis of secret information, co-accused Tushar @ Tushar Rajput was apprehended during patrolling by the Police party and 45 gms of heroin was recovered from the right pocket of his lower. Thereafter, on his disclosure statement, one Sachin @ Sukha was implicated in this case who disclosed that he had procured 50 gms of heroin from Arjun @ Katora. Thereupon, on the basis of disclosure statement of Arjun @ Katora, the petitioner has been nominated as an accused.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and apart from the disclosure statement, there is no other evidence to connect the petitioner with the

alleged recovery of contraband. He, thus, prays for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Dr. Malvika Singh, D.A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State and has opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations. On instructions from ASI Mandeep Singh, P.S. Mahesh Nagar, Ambala, learned State counsel has submitted that the petitioner is stated to be the supplier of heroin and is involved in six more cases under the NDPS Act. It is further submitted that the last case under the NDPS Act against the petitioner was registered in the year 2023.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. The allegations against the petitioner are of serious in nature. The petitioner is also involved in six more cases under the NDPS Act which clearly indicates that he has no clean antecedents. Further, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary for thorough and effective investigation of the case. Therefore, considering the antecedents of the petitioner, seriousness and gravity of the allegations, no exceptional or extraordinary grounds are made out for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

01.04.2026

Janki

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No