



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

133

CRM-M-17384-2026

Date of decision : 01.04.2026

ATUL GARG

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH

Present : Mr. Tej Bahadur Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SURYA PARTAP SINGH. J.(Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed by the petitioner for directions to the respondents to conduct the investigation by a Senior official, not below the rank of Assistant Commissioner of Police, in a case arising out of FIR No.362 dated 11.11.2024, under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B IPC, Police Station Faridabad Central, District Faridabad.
2. The learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that the petitioner has filed representation dated 05.02.2026 (Annexure P-2) before the respondent No.3-the Commissioner of Police, Faridabad. The learned counsel prays for directions to the respondent No.3 to decide the abovementioned representation within the stipulated time.
3. Notice of motion.

4. Since advance notice has already been served upon the State, Mr. Ramender Singh Chauhan, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents-State. Hence, the service of notice upon the State is hereby dispensed with.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a direction for deciding the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-2) be given to the respondent No.3 and that the instant petition be disposed of accordingly.

6. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the present petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.3-the Commissioner of Police, Faridabad or, on assignment by him, by any other police officer not below the rank of Deputy Commissioner of Police to decide the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-2), by passing a speaking order within a period of one month from the date of receipt of copy of instant order. It is however clarified that no finding is being returned by this Court, with regard to merits of the contents of representation. The respondent No.3 shall be at liberty to make an independent assessment with regard to contents of representation of the petitioner, and take an independent decision at his own level.

(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)
JUDGE

01.04.2026

vipin

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	No