

2026.PHHC.052847



CRM-M-17229-2026

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

207

CRM-M-17229-2026 (O & M)

Date of decision: 07.04.2026

BALJEET SINGH @ PAPPA

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Navkiran Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gautam Kaile, DAG, Haryana.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNS, 2023 for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.209 dated 28.08.2025, registered at Police Station Ding, District Sirsa, under Sections 15(c), 25, 29 and 8 NDPS Act.

2. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner is in custody for more than 7 months. He alleges false implication. His name surfaced based on disclosure statements of co-accused Sadam Hussain and Nadar Khan, from whom commercial quantity of contraband was recovered. There is no recovery effected from the petitioner and no evidence has also emerged to connect him to the case, but for the aforesaid disclosure statements, which are inadmissible in the eyes of law as per the judgment passed by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in the case of **Tofan Singh vs.**

CRM-M-17229-2026

State of Tamil Nadu, 2021 (1) RCR (Crl.) 1. Challan has been presented on 21.02.2026, however, charges are yet to be framed and there are, in all, 21 PWs. He is not involved in any other case.

3. The custody certificate dated 07.04.2026 has been filed by learned State counsel, as per which the petitioner is behind bars since 7 months and 3 days.

4. Learned State counsel opposes the bail on the ground that there are specific allegations against the petitioner by the co-accused, who were apprehended at the spot alongwith commercial quantity of contraband. He is, however, unable to controvert the submissions with regard to stage of the case and the petitioner being not involved in any other case.

5. Heard.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, in particular that the petitioner is in custody for the last 7 months and 3 days; not involved in any other case; challan stands presented on 21.02.2026 but charges have not been framed and there are total 21 PWs; trial is likely to take a considerable time, thus his further incarceration would not serve any useful purpose, the present petition for grant of regular bail deserves to be allowed.

7. As a result, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned and subject to his not being required in any other case. The petitioner shall

CRM-M-17229-2026

abide by the following conditions:-

- (i) The petitioner will not tamper with the evidence during the trial.
- (ii) The petitioner will not pressurize/ intimidate the prosecution witnesses.
- (iii) The petitioner will appear before the trial Court on each and every date fixed, unless is exempted by a specific order of Court.
- (iv) The petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which, he is an accused, or for commission of which he is suspected of.
- (v) The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly coerce, induce, threaten or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/ her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence in any manner.
- (vi) The petitioner shall not in any manner misuse his liberty.
- (vii) The petitioner shall furnish his address and mobile number to the Trial Court forthwith and shall not change the same till the conclusion of the trial and in case for any reason, the petitioner seeks to change any of the aforesaid, the same shall be done only with prior intimation to the learned Trial Court, stating the reason for the same.
- (viii) The petitioner shall not leave the country without prior permission of the trial Court.
- (ix) The trial Court/Duty Magistrate may impose any other condition, as deemed appropriate while releasing the petitioner.

8. It is made abundantly clear that in case there is any breach of the aforesaid conditions, the State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail as granted to the petitioner by this order.

9. In view of the above, it is clarified that the observations made herein are limited for the purpose of present proceedings and would

CRM-M-17229-2026

not be construed as an opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the aforesaid observations.

07.04.2026

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No