



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

165

CRWP No.3536 of 2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision :27.03.2026

Arti and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH

Present : Mr. Sahil Gill, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Jasdev Singh Thind, DAG, Punjab.

SURYA PARTAP SINGH, J. (Oral):

1. The instant petition has been filed by the petitioners for directions to the respondents No.2 & to 3 to protect their life and liberty from the respondents No.4 to 11.
2. The learned counsel representing the petitioners submits that the petitioners have filed a representation dated 25.03.2026 (Annexure P-4) before the respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala. The learned counsel prays for directions to the respondents No.1 to 3 to decide the abovementioned representation within a stipulated time.
3. Notice of motion to the respondents No.1 to 3 only.
4. Mr. Jasdev Singh Thind, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.
5. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the present writ petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2 to decide the representation of the petitioners (Annexure P-4) by passing a speaking order



within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of copy of instant order.

6. It is further directed that the petitioners shall be provided adequate safety and security to protect their life and liberty, if required. It is, however, clarified that any observation is not being made by this Court with regard to threat perception to the petitioners or the validity of the marriage of the petitioners. The police authority of District Patiala shall be at liberty to make an independent assessment with regard to threat perception to the petitioners, and take a decision on the issue of providing adequate security to them.

(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)
JUDGE

27.03.2026
Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No