



FAO-4703-2002(O&M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(230)

FAO-4703-2002(O&M)
Date of Decision:-10.03.2026

Jhutha Ram and Another

.....Appellants

Versus

Bholadin and Others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: None for the appellants.

Mr. V.K. Garg, Advocate,
for respondent no.3-Insurance Company.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. A perusal of the case file reveals that on the last date of hearing, learned counsel for the appellants submitted that she had no instructions on behalf of the appellants and notice had been issued to them. As per the report of the Registry, the notices issued to appellants No.1 and 2 have been received back with the report that they have expired. However, even today, there is no representation on their behalf.
2. In the aforesaid circumstances, it is evident that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the present appeal pending on the docket for an indefinite period.
3. It, therefore, appears that the legal representatives of the appellants are not interested in prosecuting the present appeal.
4. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed in default for want of prosecution.



FAO-4703-2002(O&M)

5. However, liberty is granted to the legal representatives of the appellants to seek revival of the appeal in accordance with law, in case any worthwhile cause survives for consideration.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

10.03.2026
Shubham

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No