



201 + 205 (3 cases)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision:- 31.01.2026

(1)

CRM-M-16403-2025

Surjit Kaur

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

(2)

CRM-M-17078-2025

Sukhdev Singh

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

(3)

CRM-M-18069-2025

Jagdish Ram

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Puneet Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Manjinder Singh Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner(s) in all cases.

Mr. Ekompal Sagoo, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Navraj Singh Mahal, Advocate
for respondent No. 2 in all cases.

**AMARJOT BHATTI, J.**

1. All aforementioned petitions have arisen out of same FIR, therefore, same are taken up together for disposal.
2. Petitioners Surjit Kaur, Sukhdev Singh and Jagdish Ram have filed separate petitions under Section 482 read with 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 37 dated 11.03.2025 under Section 74, 115(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 registered at Police Station Sadar Hoshiarpur, Hoshiarpur (Annexure P-1).
3. As per the facts of case, complainant Reena Rani gave her statement that she got married about 18 years ago and she is mother of daughter aged about 16 years and son aged about 13 years. Her husband was running Karyana shop. He and her in-laws used to beat her without any reason in presence of her children. Compromise was effected several times but there was no change in their behaviour. On 08.03.2025 at about 10:30 am, she was doing household work, when her father-in-law Jagdish Ram started beating her daughter and she tried to save her. On this, he gave beating to her and they were thrown out of the house. She tried to enter the house and her father-in-law caught hold of her, gave her beating and gave blow with his *kada* on her head, arms and she was thrown on the ground. Her mother-in-law gave her kick blows. They were saying that she will not be kept in house. On 07.03.2025, she was beaten up by her husband. Her sisters-in-law also instigated her husband and in-laws family against her. On raising hue and cry, neighbours gathered there. Her daughter informed



her brother Himmat Singh, who reached there and was taken to Civil Hospital, Hoshiarpur for treatment. With these allegations, present FIR has been registered.

4. Learned counsel representing petitioners in all cases denied the allegations. In fact, complainant and her husband Sukhdev Singh are having dispute. Complainant/respondent No. 2 is pressurizing her son to get separate from his parents. At present, all three petitioners are staying outside the house, whereas, respondent No. 2/complainant along with her children is staying in house. Petitioners Surjit Kaur (mother-in-law), Sukhdev Singh (husband) and Jagdish Ram (father-in-law) were granted interim relief. They are ready to abide by terms and conditions of bail order. It is prayed that their respective anticipatory bail petitions may be allowed.

5. Bail petitions are opposed by learned counsel representing State of Punjab on the ground of specific allegations. Learned counsel representing respondent No. 2/complainant also opposed anticipatory bail petitions by taking the stand that in fact, in-laws of complainant want to turn her out and her children from the house and they further want to sell the house in which family is residing. Respondent No. 2/complainant stopped her in-laws from selling the house as there was no other place to go. Husband of respondent No. 2/complainant is not earning. He is into bad vices. Complainant is looking after her children by doing work of domestic helper. She is looking after both her children single handedly.

6. I have considered the aforesaid factual position. On account of family dispute, present FIR has been registered. Aforesaid anticipatory bail



petitions are filed by husband and both in-laws. It is claimed that at present all of them are staying outside the house. Complainant is mother of a daughter, who is aged about 16 years of age, studying in school, whereas, younger son is also about 13 years of age and is a school going boy. Entire family was residing in same house. No recovery is to be effected. Petitioners are ready to join investigation and abide by terms of bail order. Considering the aforesaid factual position, no purpose would be served by sending them behind the bars. Considering their family dispute, lenient view is taken and anticipatory bail petitions filed by **petitioners Surjit Kaur, Sukhdev Singh and Jagdish Ram i.e. CRM-M Nos. 16403, 17078 and 18069 of 2025 are allowed.** They be not arrested. In case of their arrest, they be released on bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer concerned, subject to condition that petitioners will join investigation as and when required. They will not tamper with or interfere with investigation and will not leave country without prior permission as provided under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

Petitioners are further directed to maintain peace and harmony in house and in case respondent No. 2/complainant has any apprehension of threat, she can approach concerned SHO, Police Station Sadar Hoshiarpur, District Hoshiarpur, who will take appropriate steps as per requirement. Respondent No. 2/complainant is also at liberty to file application seeking cancellation of anticipatory bail, in case there is any breach of terms and conditions imposed on aforesaid petitioners.

7. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, in both cases, stand disposed of accordingly as well.
8. A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of connected cases mentioned above.

31.01.2026

(AMARJOT BHATTI)

lalit

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No