



125

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-10516-2026
DECIDED ON: 08.04.2026**

TINA WIFE OF LATE SH SITA RAM

.....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. J.S. Maanipur, Advocate
for the petitioner(s)

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

1. The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a Writ, or Direction especially in the nature of a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for appointment on compassionate ground on Group-D post in terms of Note-1, Rule 3 of Haryana Civil Services (Compassionate, Financial Assistance Sor Appointment) Rules, 2019, which came into force with effect from 1s August, 2019, as no benefit has been granted to her till 17.06.2025 (Annexure P-3) issued by the respondent No.3, when the services of her husband were regularized vide order No. 66 dated 28.08.2024.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will be satisfied in case a direction be issued to the respondent/State to decide the legal notice dated 30.09.2025 (Annexure P-5).

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Mayuri Lakhanpal Kalia, DAG Haryana, having served with an advance copy of the petition, has put in appearance on behalf of respondent/State and assures the Court that the above-said legal notice will be decided within time bound manner.

5. Without adverting to the merits of the case, the respondent No.2- Principal Chief Conservator of Forests, Haryana, is directed to decide the legal notice dated 30.09.2025 (Annexure P-5), within a period of 8 weeks from today and a copy of speaking order so passed will be supplied to the petitioner within one week thereafter.

6. The petition in the aforesaid terms stands disposed off.

08.04.2026

Meenu

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No

Whether reportable :Yes/No