

2026:PHHC:049974



CR No.2834 of 2026 (O&M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210-U

CR No.2834 of 2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision:01.04.2026

HARSHARAN SINGH AND ANR.**.....Petitioners****Vs****UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.****....Respondents****CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA***

Present: Mr. Satbir Rathore, Advocate
for the petitioners.

**********HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)**

[1]. By way of present revision petition, challenge has been laid to the orders dated 17.02.2026 and 09.03.2026 passed by the learned Addl. District Judge-VIII, Jalandhar-cum-Executing Court, whereby respondent No.2/NHAI has been granted further time to file objections to the calculations furnished by respondent No.3/CALA.

[2]. The sole grouse raised on behalf of the petitioners is that the previous objections filed by respondent No.2/NHAI to the calculations submitted by respondent No.3/CALA were dismissed by the learned Executing Court vide order dated 15.12.2025, as such NHAI was having no right to file fresh objections.

[3]. Notice of motion to respondent Nos.2 & 3 only.

[4]. On asking of the Court, Mr. H.S. Gill, Advocate and Mr. Puru Jarewal, D.A.G., Punjab accept notice on behalf of respondent Nos.2 and 3 respectively.

[5]. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the paper book.



CR No.2834 of 2026 (O&M)

[6]. In the present case, no doubt the earlier objections filed by respondent No.2/ NHAI to the previously filed calculations by respondent No.3/CALA were rejected by the learned Executing Court vide order dated 15.12.2025, however, in terms of the same very order, respondent No.2/CALA was directed to furnish fresh calculations, which were filed before the learned Executing Court on 06.02.2026; to which respondent No.2/ NHAI has been granted opportunity to file its objections. Once the fresh calculation sheet has been furnished by respondent No.3/CALA and it is respondent No.2/ NHAI which has to pay the amount, it has every right to file objections before the learned Executing Court.

[7]. In view of above, no illegality or perversity can be found with the impugned orders passed by the learned Executing Court, as such the instant revision petition stands dismissed. Pending application(s), if any shall also stand disposed of.

[8]. However, considering the fact that the acquisition in the present case relates to old notification dated 24.12.2004, the learned Executing Court is requested to ensure that the objections are filed by respondent No.2/NHAI within one month from today.

April 01, 2026
Atik

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No