



343

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-1432-2006 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 17.02.2026

Jagjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Ms. Nidhi Dahiya, Advocate for
Mr. D.S. Brar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Jindal, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel representing the parties are *ad idem* that petitioner on attaining the age of superannuation has retired as Sub Inspector (SI). The petitioner was seeking direction to respondent to permit him to continue as SI. There is no stay in his favour.
2. ***Disposed of.***
3. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

17.02.2026
Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No