



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(215)

RSA-1993-2001 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-17.03.2026

TARA CHAND ... Appellant
Versus
GAJRAJ SINGH AND ANOTHER ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Rajinder Goel, Advocate,
Ms. Anavi Parnami, Advocate
Mr. Navjyot Singh, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Gaurav Aggarwal, Advocate
for the respondent No.2.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Counsel for the appellant submitted that this appeal was filed by the father of counsel who is no more and he is not having any power of attorney in his favour and is not in touch with the appellant. The address given in the memo of appearance is incomplete address. Notice, if any, issued to the appellant on this address, the same cannot be served.
2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the instant appeal on the docket, indefinitely.
3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the appellant to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order.
4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands dismissed for want of prosecution of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

17th March, 2026
Poonam

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No