



**206 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRA-S-1073-2026 (O&M)

Reserved on 14.05.2026

Pronounced on 18.05.2026

Uploaded on 18.05.2026

RAMBILAS @ MONTI

..... APPELLANT

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

..... RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Argued by: Mr. S.S.Duhan, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Kshitij Bharati, AAG, Haryana.

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL J.

1. The appeal under Section 14-A of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 challenges order dated 11.03.2026 of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Jind vide which application for regular bail of the appellant in FIR No.301 dated 17.10.2025 under Sections 123, 137, 64(2)(m), 70(1), 87 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 3(2)(V) of the SC/ST Act, P.S. City Narwana, District Jind was dismissed.

2. Complainant reported to the police that on 16.10.2025 at about 7 AM, her daughter 'P' who was student of M.Com. 1st year, left home for college. Her younger daughter made a phone call to her at about 2 PM and 'P' responded that she was coming home in 15 minutes. After some time, when she again called her, her phone was switched off. They had been



searching for 'P' everywhere but her whereabouts could not be traced.

3. During the course of investigation, prosecutrix was recovered. Her statement under Section 183 BNSS was recorded. She stated that on 16.10.2025, at about 12 noon, she received a call on Instagram from Monti who asked to come out of college to discuss something. He became acquainted with Monti one month ago. On 12.10.2025, at about noon, on the asking of Monti, she came out of her college. He forcefully made her sit on his motorcycle and took her to a hotel on Balerkhan road. Monti took her to a room and forcefully made her consume liquor whereafter he committed wrong act. She was weeping and asking him to let her go. In semi-conscious stage, she made a phone call to Anand or Kapil and asked him to bring her back. Monti brought her bag and her phone. She came out of the Hotel crying and spoke to the receptionist in semi-conscious condition. When she regained consciousness, she was in a vehicle with Monti and two others. Monti was addressing them as Sukha and Bacho. In the night at 12-1 AM, they took her to a *baithak* in Morpatti where she gained consciousness and slept. In the morning, one another boy reached with tea who they were addressing as Dholu. After some time, Aman, Dholu and Bachhi went from the *baithak*. Monti and Sukha forcibly made her drink liquor and committed wrong act with her. Thereafter, Sukha left and Monti again committed wrong act with her under threat. She was then taken to an office in a vehicle and left there. From there, she was taken to Hooda Market where they purchased clothes as her clothes were spoiled with vomit. Aman took her to Railway Station on foot and thereafter to the house of his friend at Delhi. The next day, she called her mother from the phone of Aman and did not tell her anything out of fear. Thereafter, her brother-in-law contacted her on the



phone of Aman and spoke to her for about 1½ hours. Aman and his friend left her on the road in Delhi from where her brother-in-law brought her to Kakrod.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that appellant was behind bars for the last 5 months and 22 days. Prosecutrix was major studying in M.Com. Facts of the case made it clear that it was a case of consent and that prosecutrix had gone of her free will. Appellant had been falsely implicated in the case. Learned Additional Sessions Judge, Jind erroneously declined bail and the impugned order 11.03.2026 deserved to be set aside.

5. Status report by way of affidavit of HPS, DSP, Narwana Uchana, Jind and custody certificate dated 13.05.2026 have been filed by State of Haryana which are taken on record. Learned State counsel opposes the prayer for bail and prays for dismissal of the appeal. Taking the Court through the statement of prosecutrix under Section 183 BNSS, he submitted that semen was detected on clothes of the prosecutrix. No prosecution witness was recorded yet. Considering the nature of allegations, it is not a fit case to release the appellant on regular bail.

6. Appellant is accused of committing gang rape on the prosecutrix who was allegedly abducted on 12.10.2025, forced to consume liquor and sexually violated time and again under threat. She was shifted from place to place in semi-conscious state, taken to a hotel, thereafter to a house in Morpatti, to Railway Station Narwana and then to Delhi from where she was rescued by her brother-in-law. Considering the nature and substance of allegations against the appellant, the *prima facie* material against him, the gravity of charge, the quantum of punishment conviction



may entail, role attributed to the appellant and all attendant facts and circumstances of the case, it is not a fit case to allow the application for regular bail. There is every possibility of the appellant threatening the prosecutrix and obstructing the trial, in case of release on bail. Order dated 11.03.2026 of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Jind declining bail does not call for interference.

- 7. The appeal is dismissed.
- 8. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

18.05.2026
Sumit Singla

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No