



132

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18596-2026

Date of decision :07.05.2026

Rajesh Kumar**.....Petitioner****versus****State of Punjab and another****.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ**

Present :- Ms. Neha Rajoria, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.D. Sachdeva, D.A.G., Punjab.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the present petition is for quashing of impugned order dated 28.08.2025 (Annexure P-2) passed by learned trial Court whereby the petitioner was declared as a proclaimed person in Complaint case No.NACT-154-2024 dated 15.05.2024 under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act.

2. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been falsely prosecuted in the impugned complaint under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act. She submits that without complying with the provisions as envisaged under Section 82 Cr.P.C. proclamation proceedings were initiated against the petitioner and ultimately, he was declared as a proclaimed person vide impugned order dated 28.08.2025 (Annexure P-2). She further submits that petitioner was neither served with any ordinary service nor with any substituted service.

She submits that he had no knowledge of the ongoing legal proceedings



and came to know about the same when local police visited his neighbourhood and informed them about his status. She submits that the absence of the petitioner was neither intentional nor willful but a result of lack of information. She submits that the petitioner is ready to join the proceedings and abide by the terms and conditions of bail, if any imposed by this Court.

3. Learned State counsel has opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and has stated that the trial Court has rightly declared the petitioner as proclaimed person, who remained absent despite orders.

4. After hearing counsel for the petitioner and perusing the record, it is apparent that the petitioner remained absent despite order and he was declared as proclaimed person. The reason given by the petitioner for his absence is that he was never personally served with any summons or warrants in the said complaint. But now the petitioner is keen and ready to join the proceedings and face the trial. So keeping in view the abovesaid facts, the present petition is disposed of and order dated 28.08.2025 is *set aside* subject to payment of Rs.10,000/- as costs to be deposited in the trial Court concerned within ten days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, which will be paid to the complainant/respondent No.2. The trial Court will issue notice to the complainant and on his appearance Rs.10,000/- cost deposited by the petitioner will be released to him forthwith. The petitioner is directed to appear before the trial Court within a period of 10 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and files appropriate application, then the trial Court will admit him to bail subject to its satisfaction during



have protection from arrest for a period of 10 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

5. Needless to say that in case the petitioner fails to comply with the abovesaid direction, he will have no benefit of abovesaid protection granted by this Court and order under challenge dated 28.08.2025 would come in force and the present petition shall be deemed to have been dismissed.

07.05.2026
ps-I

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No