



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

109

**CWP-9851-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision : 02.04.2026**

RAJ KUMAR

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

THE STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA

Present :- Mr. Raunaq Singh Aulakh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Deepinder Singh Nalwa, J. (Oral)

1. In the present writ petition, the petitioner has challenged the order dated 01.02.2024 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.2, vide which, the claim of the petitioner for promotion as ASI has not been considered in the District Police Cadre or Armed Police Cadre, despite the completion of 56 years of age.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner submits that a perusal of order dated 01.02.2024 (Annexure P-1) would show that no reasons have been given in the above said order as to why the petitioner has not been found eligible for consideration for promotion as ASI in the District Police Cadre or Armed Police Cadre.

3. Learned counsel, on instructions from the petitioner, submits that at this stage, petitioner will be satisfied if a direction is given to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 12.04.2024 (Annexure P-11) submitted by the petitioner, in a time bound manner.



CWP-9851-2026

:2:

4. Notice of motion.
5. Mr. Rohit Ahuja, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents and does not object to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.
6. In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation dated 12.04.2024 (Annexure P-11), submitted by the petitioner within a period of 04 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order in accordance with law.
7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand(s) disposed of accordingly.

(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)
JUDGE

02.04.2026
Rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No