



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-9596-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision: 02.04.2026

Sushila Sharma

....Petitioner

Versus

Smt. Veena Sharma and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Ankit Chowdhri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner, who is a senior citizen, has knocked the doors of this Court, for issuance of a Mandamus upon respondent No.5, to decide her complaint dated 07.01.2022 (Annexure P-3), filed under Section 22 of the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007, in a time bound manner.

2. On the previous date of hearing, i.e. 01.04.2026, this Court had passed the following order:-

“1. At this stage, without issuing notice, learned State counsel is directed to have appropriate instructions from the quarter concerned and to apprise this Court of the reasons for pendency of the petitioner’s application before the respondent No.5- Additional Deputy Commissioner, who is not the competent authority to adjudicate the same under the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007. He shall further apprise this Court of the time frame within which the competent authority statutory authority is likely to decide the petitioner’s application.

“2. List on 02.04.2026.”



2. In response, learned State counsel, on instructions from Mr. Amit Gupta, Sub Divisional Magistrate, Dera Bassi, informs this Court that the application (supra), shall be decided by the statutory authority within four months from today, in accordance with law.

3. In view of the abovesaid stand set out by learned State counsel, no direction is required to be issued by this Court. Accordingly, the instant writ petition is **disposed of**.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

02.04.2026
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No