



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

158

CWP-9517-2026

Date of Decision: 30.03.2026

JASVEER SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Ms. Manvi Arora, Advocate (through V.C.) for petitioner

Mr. Arun Jindal, Additional Advocate General, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent No.2 - Director General of Police, Punjab to decide and act upon representation dated 17.03.2026 in a time bound manner.

2. The petitioner filed a Civil Suit for possession against private respondents. The Suit was decreed vide order dated 02.12.2025. He filed an execution petition and Civil Court vide order dated 19.02.2026 directed the concerned SHO to provide Police help in order to get warrants of attachment executed. The concerned SHO did not pay any heed to the same. He preferred representation dated 17.03.2026 before Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda and Director General of Police, Punjab but to no avail.



3. Learned State counsel has submitted the report dated 28.03.2026 which is taken on record. As per report dated 28.03.2026 of In-charge, Chowki Industrial Area, Bhatinda Police, Station Sadar Bathinda, matter is pending before Civil Court and a Police party was deputed to assist Revenue Authorities in compliance of orders of Civil Court. Both the parties have agreed on the proposal of Revenue Authorities and a report in this regard would be submitted before Civil Court.

4. In the wake of statement of learned State counsel, the petition stands disposed of.

5. Pending Misc. application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

30.03.2026
SDK

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No