



104

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-9682-2026(O&M)

Date of Decision: 01.04.2026

HARDAYAL SINGH ALIAS HARDIAL SINGH

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Amrindra Pratap Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to renew the arms licence.
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the application which was filed by the petitioner for renewal of his arms licence has been pending before the licensing authority but the same has not been renewed and rather a show cause notice has been issued to the petitioner with regard to the pendency of an FIR. He submitted that it is settled law that mere pendency of an FIR is not a ground for non-renewal or cancellation of a licence. He also submitted that in the aforesaid FIR, there was no use of arms and therefore, a direction may be issued to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the application filed by the petitioner for renewal of his arms licence within a time frame work.



3. At this stage, Ms. Shruti, AAG, Punjab submitted that she has received an advance copy of the present petition and has also sought instructions from the concerned authority to state that the application which was filed by the petitioner for renewal of his arms licence will be considered and decided in accordance with law within a period of two months from today.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the present petition may be disposed of.

5. Ordered accordingly.

01.04.2026

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)

rakesh

JUDGE

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No