



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

168

CWP-9524-2026

Date of Decision: 08.04.2026

POONAWALLA FINCORP LIMITED THROUGH ITS AUTHORIZED
REPRESENTATIVE MR RAJESH BHATIA

...Petitioner

Versus

CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, LUDHIANA AND ORS

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Amit Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. This writ petition has been filed *inter alia* for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ludhiana to decide the application dated 06.06.2025, Annexure P-3, filed by petitioner under Section 14 of the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 (for short, 'the SARFAESI Act').

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that respondents No.2 to 6 had taken a loan from the petitioner-finance company and had mortgaged immovable properties. Counsel asserts that due to continuous default in repayment, loan account was classified as NPA on 05.12.2024. A notice under Section 13(2) of the SARFAESI Act was issued on 19.02.2025 (Annexure P-1), followed by notice under Section 13(4) of the SARFAESI



Act dated 06.05.2025 (Annexure P-2), whereafter symbolic possession of the secured asset was taken. Counsel states that petitioner moved an application (Annexure P-3) under Section 14 of the SARFAESI Act on 06.06.2025 before the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ludhiana, which is still pending. Counsel has made a reference to the statutory provisions and has urged that the application has to be decided within the time frame provided in the statute.

3. Heard counsel for the petitioner.
4. In view the observations of this Court in *Bank of Maharashtra vs. District Magistrate, Hisar and others, 2024(2) PLR 346* as well as in *IIFL Home Finance Limited vs. State of Haryana and others, Law Finder Doc ID #2875322*, writ petition is disposed of with a direction to Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ludhiana, to decide the application dated 06.06.2025 (Annexure P-3) as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of 60 days from the date of communication of copy of this order, unless there is any legal impediment in doing so.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

April 08, 2026
harish

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No