



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-9543-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision: 02.04.2026

Harwinder Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Satinderpal Singh Dhanesar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner, by way of instant writ petition, as cast under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, prays for issuance of a Mandamus upon respondent No.3, to reframe a joint time table for the route from Pakho Kalan to Rampura Phul, in accordance with the notification dated 25.07.2023 (Annexure P-2).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already filed a representation dated 23.02.2026 (Annexure P-8), with respondent No.3, as regards his grievances. However, owing to a lackadaisical and indolent approach of the authority concerned, a considerable time has elapsed, but the matter has not made any tangible progress.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and waives service.

At the outset, he, upon having instructions from Mr. Gurpreet Singh



Thind, Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Bathinda, fairly submits that the representation (supra), shall be decided within eight weeks from today, after affording due opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

5. In view of the abovesaid stand set out by learned State counsel, no direction is required to be issued by this Court. Accordingly, the instant writ petition is **disposed of**.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

02.04.2026
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No