



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

210

CRM-M No.16902 of 2026
Date of decision : 29.4.2026
Date of uploading : 29.4.2026

Rajiv KumarPetitioner
Versus
State of HaryanaRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Durgesh C. Barana, Advocate and
Nr, Aditya Partap, Advocate, for the petitioner
Ms. Priyanka Sadar, Senior DAG, Haryana

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 27.3.2026, the following order was passed:

'Apprehending his arrest in FIR No. 890 Dated 03.12.2025 Under Section 209 BNS, 2023 (Corresponding to Section 174-A of the Indian Penal Code, 1860), registered at Police Station Civil Lines, District Karnal, Haryana; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

Counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the main complaint filed under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instrument Act, 1881, in pursuance whereof the FIR in question came to be registered, the matter has since been settled between the parties, no effective recovery is to be made from the petitioner & the petitioner is willing to join investigation and cooperate therein as per law.

Notice of motion.

On the strength of advance notice; Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana has entered appearance on behalf of the respondent-State of Haryana.

Adjourned to 29.04.2026.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 02.04.2026 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his



furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.'

2. Learned State counsel (on instructions) submits that pursuant to the order dated 27.3.2026, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.
3. In view of the above, the instant petition is allowed. Interim order dated 27.3.2026, passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.
4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
5. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
6. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

29.4.2026
Ashwani

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No