**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**(101)** **CRM-M-17073-2026 (O&M)**

Date of Decision:- 24.04.2026

**GURMAIL CHAND AND ANOTHER**

……Petitioners

Versus

**STATE OF PUNJAB**

……Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN**

****

Present: Mr. Sunil Kumar Rohilla, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Japjot Singh AAG, Punjab.

*****

**ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)**

1. The present petition has been filed seeking grant of Anticipatory Bail to the petitioners in a Criminal Case arising out of G.D. No. 27 dated 26.12.2025 (P-3) (cross case of FIR No.204 dated 22.11.2025) (P-1) under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 118(2), 74, 333, 324(4), 351(3), 303(2), 190, 191(3) BNS and (section 117(2) BNS added later on) at Police Station Sadar Nakodar, Jalandhar, District Jalandhar.

2. Vide order dated **30.03.2026**, this Court while granting the concession of interim anticipatory bail directed the petitioner to join investigation.

3. At the outset, learned State counsel has pointed out that, inadvertently the wrong time has been mentioned in the earlier MLR, however, clarified in this regard that victim's arrival at the hospital was recorded as 10:15 a.m, and the examination was conducted at 10:30 a.m.

MANJU
2026.04.28 09:56
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

However, he could not deny that in the FIR lodged by the present petitioner, the statement of alleged victim in present GD was not recorded.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Tirath Ram submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is no longer required.

5. In view of above, the petition is allowed. The order dated **30.03.2026** granting interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made absolute. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the Investigating Agency and shall abide by the conditions of Section 482 BNSS.

6. However, nothing stated above shall be construed as a final expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

7. It is made clear that, in case, the petitioner is found involved in any such activity once again, the present concession granted shall automatically stand vacated.

8. However, it is made clear that this order shall not be construed as parity *qua* any other co-accused.

9. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

**(ALOK JAIN)**
**JUDGE**

**April 24, 2026**
manju
Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether Reportable:- Yes/No

MANJU
2026.04.28 09:56
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document