



CRM-M-17597-2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

217

CRM-M-17597-2026  
Decided on : 30.04.2026

Mohd. Shabbir Hasan @ Tipu

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Mr. Sanpreet Sandhu, Advocate  
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jhanda, Sr. DAG Haryana

\*\*\*\*

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

| Name of Petitioner(s)                     | FIR No. | Date       | Section(s)                                                                                                                           | Police Station | District |
|-------------------------------------------|---------|------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------|
| Mohd. Shabbir Hasan @ Tipu, aged 33 years | 131     | 26.05.2025 | 3(5), 111(4), 111(3) of BNS and 25(6) of Arms Action (Section 238(b) of BNS and 29 of Arms Act were added later on) (201, 34 of IPC) | Sadar Rohtak   | Rohtak   |

2. Case of the prosecution is that, on the basis of secret information received on 25.05.2025, police team conducted a raid at about 10:15 p.m. at the disclosed location. The secret information revealed the presence of accused-Tarun, son of Anil, resident of Village Kutabpur, Rewari, and accused-Mahesh @ Kalu, son of Ved Parkash, resident of Singhpura Khurd, Rohtak City. During raid, both



**CRM-M-17597-2026**

the accused were found together on a motorcycle bearing registration No. HR-89-7705 and were allegedly preparing to commit a crime in Rohtak City. Both were apprehended, and from the right pocket of accused-Tarun's trousers, a black-coloured country-made pistol was recovered. The pistol was found unloaded; however, five live cartridges were recovered separately from its magazine.

From accused-Mahesh @ Kalu, one country-made pistol was recovered from the right pocket of the lower garment he was wearing. This pistol was also found unloaded, but four live cartridges were recovered from its magazine. The recovered pistols bore the inscription "PIETRO BERETTA 7.65 MM" and on the other side the marking "USA ITALY."

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no recovery was effected from the petitioner and his name was involved in the present case on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused-Vikas, who has already been released on bail by this Court vide order dated 06.03.2026 passed in CRM-M-73788-2025.

Learned counsel further submit that petitioner is in custody since 01.08.2025. It is further submitted that charges have not yet been framed in the present case and, considering the likelihood of a protracted trial, learned counsel prays for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in the present case.

4. Learned State counsel while opposing the prayer and submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that offences alleged are grave in nature and petitioner has been named in disclosure statements made by the other co-accused, indicating their involvement in the conspiracy connected with extortion activities.

It is further submitted that investigation is at a crucial stage and release of the petitioner may lead to interference with evidence or influence on



**CRM-M-17597-2026**

witnesses. Therefore, he prays for dismissal of the present petition.

5. This Court has heard learned counsel for both the respective parties and have considered the facts alleged in the FIR, as well as the investigation conducted by the prosecution.

6. Involvement of the present petitioner is not directly connected with the first-arrested accused, namely Tarun and Mahesh. Petitioner is implicated only on the disclosure statement of co-accused. Whether petitioner was actually involved in the alleged incident, whether he had any connection with other gangsters, and if so, whether such involvement was intentional or otherwise, are all matters to be examined by the trial Court during the course of trial.

7. Considering the totality of circumstances, and facts/allegations levelled against the petitioner, and the factors noticed hereinabove, including the total period of incarceration already undergone by the petitioner and slow pace of trial, this Court deems it appropriate to grant the concession of bail to the petitioner in the present case.

Consequently, prayer made in both the present petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

8. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

9. Any of the discussion done and recorded here above, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an independent view, on the basis of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible, in accordance with law.



CRM-M-17597-2026

10.           Petition stands disposed of.

                Pending application(s), if any, shall stands disposed of accordingly.

April 30, 2026

(SANJAY VASHISTH)  
JUDGE

*reena*

Whether speaking/reasoned:       Yes/No  
Whether Reportable:               Yes/No