

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.114

**CRWP-3640-2026
Date of Decision: 02.04.2026**

WILLIAM

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANDEEP PANNU

Present:- Ms. Harkirat Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Anmol Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

MANDEEP PANNU, J. (Oral)

1. The present writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner by providing adequate security, in view of the threats mentioned in detailed representation dated 10.03.2026 (Annexure P-2).
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for redressal of his grievance, the petitioner has already filed a representation dated 10.03.2026 (Annexure P-2) before the Sr. Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur, Punjab, however no action has been taken on the same till date.
3. Notice of motion.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sahil Chowdhary, AAG Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.
5. Without commenting upon the merits of the case and in view of the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present

petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the representation dated 10.03.2026 (Annexure P-2) filed by the petitioner, qua threat perception, in accordance with law within a period of one month from today and grant immediate protection, if any threat to the life and liberty of the petitioner, is perceived.

6. It is being clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioner for legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by him.

7. Pending application(s), if any, is/are disposed of.

02.04.2026

Anu

(MANDEEP PANNU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No