



CRWP-3754-2026

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

119

CRWP-3754-2026

Date of decision : 06.04.2026

Anmol Rani and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present:- Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate,  
Mr. Varun Singla, Advocate and  
Mr. Harshdeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

\*\*\*

**H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)**

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to the official respondents No.2 to 5 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents No.6 to 10, who are averse to their live in relationship.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 has been in a live in relationship with petitioner No.2 w.e.f. 12.02.2026. However, the private respondents No.6 to 10 have been extending threats of dire consequences to the petitioners as they are averse to their live in relationship. While drawing the attention of this Court to Annexure P-3 dated 24.03.2026, learned counsel has submitted that the matter was reported to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka seeking protection, however, it had been in vain. Learned counsel submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2-Senior

**CRWP-3754-2026****-2-**

Superintendent of Police, Fazilka to look into the aforesaid representation and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 5 only.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. P.S. Pandher, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 5.
5. In view of the above, without commenting upon the status of live in relationship of the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka to look into the aforesaid representation qua the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

**06.04.2026**  
Sonia

**(H.S.GREWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No