



CRM-M-17177-2026

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

117

**CRM-M-17177-2026  
Decided on: 01.04.2026**

**Angrej Singh**

**.....Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab**

**.....Respondent**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

Present: Mr. Kamal Narula, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG Punjab

\*\*\*\*

**SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)**

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of DDR No.28 dated 03.07.2025 (Annexure P-2) under Section 115(2), 118(1), 191(3), 190 of BNS, Section 118 (2) added lateron (Corresponding Sections 323/324/148/ Section 149, later on Section 326 of IPC added) in FIR No.93 dated 03.07.2025, under Section 115(2), 118(1), 191(3), 190 of BNS, 2023 (Corresponding Sections 323/324/148/149 of IPC), District Mamdot, Station Ferozepur, Punjab (Annexure P-1).

2. At the instance of the petitioner's party regarding the incident dated 16.06.2025, the complainant, Veena Rani, got FIR No. 93 dated 03.07.2025



registered under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 191(3), and 190 of the BNS Act at PS Mamdot, District Ferozepur.

3. After a delay of 17 days, as a cross-version, Chhinder Kaur (complainant in the cross-version) got the impugned DDR registered.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present cross-version, being lodged after a lapse of 17 days from the alleged incident, is highly belated and appears to be a concocted version, implicating a total of eight accused.

5. It is further alleged that the petitioner inflicted a blow on the complainant, Chhinder Kaur, with a dasti kirpan, causing injury to the little finger of her left foot.

6. Learned counsel further submits that co-accused Veena Rani, Amarjeet Kaur, Paro, Balwinder Singh and Papan Singh have already been granted anticipatory bail by the co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 16.02.2026 passed in CRM-M-72430-2025 (Annexure P-4).

7. Notice of motion.

8. On asking of the Court, learned State counsel, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

9. This Court has heard the submissions addressed by counsel for the parties and noticing that cross version has been introduced after a period of 17 days, this Court finds it appropriate to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the present case.

10. Accordingly, petitioner is directed to join the investigation within two weeks from today, or as and when called by the investigating agency, and in the eventuality of the arrest, petitioner would be released on anticipatory bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The



CRM-M-17177-2026

petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

11. Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court.

12. However, it shall be open to the prosecution as well as the complainant to seek revival of the present bail order, in the event that statements made by learned counsel for the petitioner, as recorded here above, are found to be incorrect, or if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigation, in terms of the directions mentioned in the present order.

13. With the directions issued here above, present petition stands disposed of.

01.04.2026  
reena

(SANJAY VASHISTH)  
JUDGE

|                            |                    |
|----------------------------|--------------------|
| Whether Speaking/Reasoned: | YES/ <del>NO</del> |
| Whether Reportable:        | <del>YES</del> /NO |