

**206 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2026.PHHC.054613



CRM-M-16840-2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 08.04.2026

DEEPAK ALIAS DEEPAK TEWATIA ... PETITIONER
VERSUS
STATE OF HARYANA ... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Deepkaran Dalal, Advocate and
Mr. Yoginder Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rakesh Kumar Jangra, A.A.G., Haryana.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (erstwhile Section 438 Cr.P.C.) in FIR No. 14 dated 23.01.2026 under Sections 110, 115, 118(1) of BNS, 2023 (Sections 308, 321, 324 of IPC) later added Sections 115(2), 118(2) of BNS (Sections 323, 326 of IPC) registered at Police Station Hassanpur, District Palwal.

2. The case of the prosecution is that complainant was called to the house of the petitioner for a discussion over their property dispute, where the petitioner in collusion with his father repeatedly stabbed around 10-12 times on the face, neck, shoulder and hand of the complainant with a sharp edged iron knife.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The complainant had trespassed in the house of the petitioner along with two other persons and he

had also sustained injuries. Consequently, in the process he inflicted injuries

on the complainant. He, thus, prays for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Per contra, learned State counsel has opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations. He has filed the status report by way of affidavit of Anil Kumar, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Crime Palwal, District Palwal and the same is taken on record.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. The cross-version of the case has been disbelieved by the prosecution as the two persons named in the occurrence have been exonerated and they have not sustained injuries. The allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature. As per para No.3 of the status report, the complainant has sustained 10 injuries and all of them are incised wounds, whereas the injuries suffered by the petitioner are not grievous in nature. The injuries inflicted by the petitioner to the complainant are grievous and brutal. Accordingly, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary for thorough and effective investigation of the case. Therefore, considering the seriousness and gravity of the allegations, no exceptional or extraordinary grounds are made out for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

08.04.2026
Janki

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No