

2026:PHHC:054101



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

223

CRM-M-17535-2026
Date of Decision: 08.04.2026

MANGAT RAM AND OTHERS

... Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI.

Present: Mr. S.S. Gill, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vipul Sherwal, AAG, Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in the present petition under Section 483 BNSS is for the grant of regular bail to the petitioners in case bearing FIR No.374 dated 11.10.2025 under Sections 191(3), 190, 331(3), 305, 61(2) BNS, registered at Police Station Sadar Sirsa, District Sirsa.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the FIR had been registered as an outcome of a matrimonial dispute. The occurrence purportedly took place in July 2025, though, the FIR was registered on 11.10.2025. As the petitioners are in custody since 05.11.2025, the challan stands submitted but none of the 10 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far, the Trial in the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, they are entitled to the concession of bail, more so, when co-accused, namely, Paramjeet Kaur, Manpreet Kaur and Harpreet Kaur have been granted the concession of bail by this Court vide order dated 26.02.2026 and order dated 11.03.2025 passed in CRM-M-67523-2025 and CRM-M-65822-2025.

3. The learned counsel for the State, on the other hand, contends that serious allegations have been levelled against the petitioners. Therefore, they are not entitled to the concession as prayed for. He, however, concedes that the petitioners are in custody since 05.11.2025, none of the 10 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far and that other co-accused persons have already been granted the concession of bail.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

5. The veracity of the prosecution case against the petitioners and their co-accused shall be adjudicated upon during the course of the trial. Admittedly, the petitioners are in custody since 05.11.2025 and none of the 10 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far. Therefore, the trial in the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. In this situation, the further incarceration of the petitioners is not required, more so, when 3 co-accused (Paramjeet Kaur, Manpreet Kaur and Harpreet Kaur) have already been granted the similar concession.

6. Thus, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioners namely, Mangat Ram, Sunil and Kulwant Singh sons of Massu Ram and Massu Ram son of Sohan Ram are ordered to be released on bail subject to their furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

7. Petition stands disposed of.

APRIL 08, 2026.

Rajender

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No