



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-9587-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision: 01.04.2026

Rajinder Singh Gotra

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Dharam Vir Sharma, Senior Advocate, with
Ms. Shivani Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner, by way of instant writ petition, as cast under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, prays for issuance of a Mandamus upon the respondents to pay him full pension, gratuity, leave encashment and other retiral dues, along with interest @ 9%, from the date of his retirement.

2. Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner moved the respondent authorities, vide legal notice dated 29.01.2026 (Annexure P-8), as regards his concerned/grievances. However, owing to a lackadaisical and indolent approach of the authorities concerned, months have rolled by, but the legal notice (supra), is still awaiting attention of the authorities concerned.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and waives service.

At the outset, he fairly submits that he has no objection, in case, a



Mandamus is passed upon the respondents, to take a final decision upon the legal notice (supra).

5. In view of the above, and since a *bona fide* and innocuous prayer has been made on behalf of the petitioner, the instant writ petition is **disposed of**, by issuing a Mandamus upon respondent No.2, to consider and decide the legal notice (supra), within a period of six weeks from the receipt of a certified copy of this order.

6. Needless to assert that before drawing a final order, a due opportunity of hearing shall be afforded to the petitioner.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

01.04.2026
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No