



261

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-2621-2019

Date of decision: 14.01.2026

Sukhwinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Lakhwinder Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. H.S. Batth, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Jasmine Garg, Advocate for
Mr. Prateek Sodhi, Advocate for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a revision petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the order dated 16.12.2017 (Annexure P-4) passed by the Civil Judge (Junior Division), Amritsar and judgment dated 25.02.2019 (Annexure P-6) passed by the Additional District Judge, Amritsar.
2. On 25.07.2019, a Coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Present: Mr. Harchand Singh Batth, Advocate, for the petitioner.

In pursuance to the last order dated 27.5.2019, learned counsel for the petitioner states that after verification he has found that as per communication dated 11.7.2019 of the Patwari, respondent-Lakhwinder Singh has sold his entire share out of joint khata.

Issue notice of motion for 6.9.2019.

In the meantime, copies of the jamabandis etc. be placed on record.

Meanwhile, status quo be maintained.

25.7.2019”



3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the said order has been continuing for the last six years and has prayed that same be continued till the pendency of the suit.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent, has submitted that the suit was filed in the year 2017 and thus, the trial Court be requested to decide the suit expeditiously. It is further submitted that grant of interim order or continuance of the same could not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial Court be directed to decide the same independently after taking into consideration the evidence and documents on record.

5. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances and fair stand taken by learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned counsel for the respondent, the present revision petition is disposed of with the following observations:-

- i) Interim order dated 25.07.2019 would continue till the time the civil suit is decided. Grant and continuance of the same should not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case. The trial Court would decide the case independently after taking into consideration the evidence, documents and the arguments raised by both the parties.
- ii) Since the suit is of the year 2017, the trial Court is requested to decide the same as expeditiously as possible.
- iii) Both the parties are also requested to fully assist the trial Court in expeditious disposal of the case.

14.01.2026

Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No