


CWP-17938-CAT-2005 (O&M) -1-



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

223

IOIN-1-CWP-17938-CAT-2005 in/&
CWP-17938-CAT-2005 (O&M)
Date of Decision :30.03.2026

Union of India and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Santokh Singh & another

...Respondents


CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: None.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. Office has listed this case as service upon respondent No.1 is still incomplete.
2. In the present petition, the challenge is to the impugned order dated 22.08.2005 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.2-Central Administrative Tribunal (hereinafter referred to as 'the Tribunal') being contrary to law and facts as well as against the statutory instructions issued by the Ministry of Railway.
3. It may be noticed that 20 years have passed but respondent No.1 has not been served.
4. In the impugned order dated 22.08.2005 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Tribunal, respondent No.1, herein, was allowed to continue on the



CWP-17938-CAT-2005 (O&M) -2-

interim order was declined by this Court.

5. It may be noticed that, probably, respondent No.1 must have retired as of now. Further, no one appears on behalf of petitioner hence, it can be safely presumed that the petitioners are not interested in pursuing the present remedy.

6. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

7. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

8. IOIN stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

March 30, 2026

aarti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No