



**COCp-1346-2026 along with -1-
other connected cases**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(106 + 110)

1.

COCp-1346-2026

Gurjant Singh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

Varun Roojam and another

.....Respondents
2.

COCp-1364-2026

Sandeep Kumar and others

....Petitioners

Versus

Bikramjit Singh Shergill and another

.....Respondents
3.

COCp-1387-2026

Inderjit Singh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

Bikramjit Singh Shergill and another

.....Respondents
4.

COCp-1388-2026

Balvir Singh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

Bikramjit Singh Shergill and another

.....Respondents
5.

COCp-1514-2026

Garza Singh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

Bikramjit Singh Shergill and another

.....Respondents



COCP-1346-2026 along with -3-
other connected cases

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents have submitted that with respect to every petition, they have passed separate orders dated 29.04.2026 and copies of the same have been given to this Court during the course of arguments and have been marked as “Mark A (Colly.)” and have also been placed on record in the lead case i.e. COCP-1346-2026. It is submitted that as per the said orders, the services of the petitioners have been regularized w.e.f. 15.10.2025 subject to final outcome of the pending LPA, which has been filed by Pepsu Road Transport Corporation.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that as per the judgment dated 03.09.2025, the petitioners are also entitled to counting of their past service and other benefits as per the judgments of this Court in “**Harbans Lal Vs. State of Punjab**”, ***CWP No.2371 of 2010*** and “**State of Haryana and others Vs. Jai Bhagwan**”, ***LPA No.1892 of 2019*** and the same are also to be released to the petitioners and regarding the same, the petitioners would make separate representations to the Managing Director, Pepsu Road Transport Corporation and has further prayed that the respondents be directed to release the amount due to the petitioners in a time bound manner and in case the respondents are of the opinion that any amount is not due to the petitioners, then, a speaking order regarding the same be passed.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents have



**COC-1346-2026 along with -4-
other connected cases**

submitted that in case the petitioners give representations to the Managing Director, Pepsu Road Transport Corporation, then, the same would be considered within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the said representations and the amount which is found due would be released to the petitioners within a further period of 15 days from the said two months and in case the respondents are of the opinion that on any of the counts no amount or that no amount is due to the petitioners, then, speaking order regarding the same would be passed. It is further submitted that in case the same is not done and the petitioners have to file review applications and are able to show that any amount is still due to them, then, the respondents would pay an additional amount of Rs.15,000/- as litigation expenses over and above the amount which is due to the petitioners. It is further submitted that the said release be made subject to the decision of the LPA.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances and the fair stand taken on behalf of the petitioners as well as the respondents, the present contempt petitions are disposed of with the following directions/observations: -

- (i) It is noted that the orders dated 29.04.2026 have been passed by the respondents subject to the decision in the LPA, which is pending.
- (ii) Each of the petitioner would give representation to the Managing Director, Pepsu Road Transport Corporation giving the details of the amount due to them as per the order



**COC-1346-2026 along with
other connected cases**

-5-

of the learned Single Judge dated 03.09.2025 which makes specific reference to two judgments of this Court in the case of “Harbans Lal Vs. State of Punjab”, *CWP No.2371 of 2010* and “State of Haryana and others Vs. Jai Bhagwan”, *LPA No.1892 of 2019*.

- (iii) Within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the said representations, the case of each of the petitioner would be considered by the Managing Director, Pepsu Road Transport Corporation and whatever amount is found due to the petitioners would be released to them within a further period of 15 days after the elapse of the said period of two months. In case the said respondents are of the opinion that no amount is due to the petitioners or on any of the aspects which have been highlighted in the representations the amount is not due, then, a speaking order regarding the same should be passed. The speaking order would be passed within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the representations. In case the respondents do not pass the speaking order within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the representations or do not pay the benefits within a period of 15 days as assessed by them, then, it would be open to the petitioners to seek review of the present contempt petitions and in such a situation, the respondents, as undertaken before this Court, would be liable to pay



**COCp-1346-2026 along with -6-
other connected cases**

Rs.15,000/- as litigation expenses in every case in case the
pleas raised in the revival application of the petitioners are
found to be meritorious.

- (iv) It is clarified that in case the decision is taken by the
respondents within the aforesaid period of two months from
the date of receipt of representations and the amount is also
released within a further period of 15 days which has been
found by the respondents to be due to the petitioners and the
petitioners are still dis-satisfied with the speaking order,
then, liberty is granted to the petitioners to challenge the said
speaking order in accordance with law.

April 29, 2026
naresh.k

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes
Whether reportable?	No