



CRR-496-2008

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

203/2

CRR-496-2008 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 11.03.2026

Surjit Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHANDER DIMRI

Present: Ms. Jyotnoor Kaur Sethi, *Amicus Curiae* for the petitioner.

Mr. Raghav Garg, AAG, Punjab.

RAMESH CHANDER DIMRI, J.(Oral)

1. The present Criminal Revision Petition has been filed by the petitioner challenging the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 17.01.2007 passed by the then Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Zira, whereby petitioner was convicted and sentenced to undergo Rigorous Imprisonment (for short 'R.I.') for a period of two years alongwith fine of Rs.1500/- as well as the judgment dated 15.02.2008 passed by the then learned Sessions Judge, Ferozepur dismissing the appeal preferred by the petitioner while upholding the judgment and order dated 17.01.2007.

2. Learned State counsel makes a statement at Bar that the petitioner Surjit Singh has expired on 29.08.2015. He also states that in a connected petition i.e. CRR-494-2008, the State of Punjab had filed a status report mentioning the said fact which also accompanied death certificate of the petitioner. The connected matter i.e. **CRR-494-2008** titled as ***Surjit Singh Versus State of Punjab*** has been disposed of as abated through a



CRR-496-2008 2

conviction and order of sentence are by the same Court and also of the same date.

3. In view thereof, the present revision petition, so far as sentence of imprisonment imposed on the petitioner Surjit Singh is concerned, stands abated.

4. In the connected petition, it has been mentioned that the petitioner had paid fine on 17.01.2007. Although there is no intimation about payment of fine in the present case, yet, in view of such abatement of the petition in question in respect of sentence of imprisonment, the present petition is disposed of as abated subject to all just exceptions. If fine was not paid, it shall be recovered from the property left behind by the petitioner. In that eventuality, if legal representatives of the petitioner wish to still continue with the petition on the issue of imposition of fine, they shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application in the petition in question.

5. Present petition is accordingly disposed of as abated. Pending application(s), if any, is/are also disposed of.

11.03.2026
Rajeev (rvs)

(RAMESH CHANDER DIMRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No