

2026.PHHC.001039



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

244/2

CRM-M-17663-2026 (O&M).

Date of Decision: 21.05.2026.

Manjit Singh and others

....Petitioners.

VERSUS

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Ms. Bindu Bala, Advocate for
Mr. Vishal Munjal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Ms. Gaganbir Kaur Kahlon, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 482 Cr.P.C.), seeking quashing of criminal complaint No.COMA/20/2015, titled as, “Pooran Singh v. Manjit Singh and others” (Annexure P-2), along with summoning order dated 12.07.2019 (Annexure P3), vide which, petitioners have been summoned under Sections 326, 323, 324, 341, 148, 149 of IPC [118(2), 115(2), 118(1), 126(2), 191(3), 190 of BNS, 2023], and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise-deed dated 15.03.2026 (Annexure P-5), effected between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 06.04.2026, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate, for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Baba Bakala Sahib, District Amritsar, in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s) compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondent has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioner is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report. The relevant part of the said report is reproduced here-below:

Sr. No.	Description	
1.	Total number of persons found involved as accused in the dispute/complaint	Five
2.	Number of complainant/victim(s)	One
3.	Whether all the accused and complainant / victims are party to compromise & signed the same	Yes
4.	In case, any affected person (accused or complainant) is left out or not arrayed as party in the quashing petition before High Court, detail whereof; OR	No
	His/her statement is still to be recorded, in compliance to the direction of this Court, details of such person	--
5.	Whether any accused has been declared as a proclaimed offender/ person or any such proceedings against him/her have been initiated or pending adjudication	No
6.	Report of the Court whether compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence	Yes
7.	Any other aspect relevant to the present case.	All the relevant aspects have been detailed above.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and the private respondent is the only aggrieved person in the complaint in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Baba Bakala Sahib, District Amritsar, and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid complaint and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

7. Needless to say that the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

8. Petition stands **disposed of**.

Pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

21.05.2026

jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/ No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/ No