



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

210

CRM-M-16899-2026 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 05.05.2026.

Arman Singh @ Armaan Singh @ Armaan Singh Maan @ Wiskey

....Petitioner.

VERSUS

State of Punjab

....Respondent.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Nirbhay Garg, Advocate
Mr. Amrinder K. Garg, Advocate and
Mr. Vikramjit Singh, Advocaes for the petitioner.

Mr. Vinay Malhotra, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Arman Singh @ Armaan Singh @ Armaan Singh Maan @ Wiskey	81	02.07.2025	305, 3(5) of BNS, 2023 (corresponding Sections 380, 34 IPC)	Fatehgarh Churian	Batala

2. On 27.03.2026, following order was passed:-

“1. xxx

2. As per case of the prosecution, co-accused Jashanpreet Kaur, who is married with son of the complainant eloped with her paramour (present petitioner) and also she had committed theft of gold ornaments weighing approximately 25 tola alongwith cash of Rs. 50,000/- from the house of the complainant before eloping with her paramour.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case on false grounds, just because Jashanpreet Kaur, who is his daughter in law was having relationship with the petitioner. He submitted that infact daughter in law of complainant was not happy with her matrimonial life as complainant as well as her husband used to harass her. Eventually she came in touch with present petitioner and they fell in love and even they also filed protection petition before this Court. He further submits that the petitioner and co-accused Jashanpreet Kaur never committed any theft of gold ornaments from her matrimonial house. He further submits that coaccused Jashanpreet Kaur has already been granted the concession of pre-arrest bail by this Court vide order dated 10.03.206 passed in CRM-M-54817-2025. Thus, prays for grant of concession of anticipatory bail.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On advance notice, Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG Punjab, puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State, and seeks some time to file status report in the matter.

6. Adjourned to 05.05.2026.

7. Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

8. Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court.”

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 27.03.2026, passed by this

Court, petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Surinder Kumar, confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation on 28.04.2026 by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation. He further submits that petitioner has submitted his Passport.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 27.03.2026, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

05.05.2026

jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No
Whether Reportable : Yes/ No