



CWP-9273-2026

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

123

CWP-9273-2026

Date of decision: 27.03.2026

RAJ KUMAR

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

...RESPONDENT

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RAMESH KUMARI**

Present: Mr. Anurag Chopra, Advocate (through video conferencing) with
Ms. Himani Jamwal, Advocate and
Ms. Darika Sikka, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Pandher, Addl. AG, Punjab.

Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate (through video conferencing)
for respondent No.4.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The facts in brief which are required to be noticed for finally adjudicating upon this petition are that the petitioner's bid, submitted by him in pursuance to the Punjab Foodgrains Transport Policy-2025 (for short 'Policy-2025') was held to be technically unresponsive through order dated 23.08.2025 against which the petitioner filed an appeal before respondent No.2 under Clause 15 of the Policy-2025 which appeal was accepted through order of the Appellate Authority dated 03.10.2025. Respondent No.4 challenged the order dated 03.10.2025 before respondent No.1 which challenge of his was accepted resulting in the passing of the order dated 27.10.2025 through which respondent No.1 remanded the matter to be decided afresh by respondent No.3. However, even after the passage of about 05 months, the matter remains pending before

**CWP-9273-2026****-2-**

this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied if the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide the matter remanded to him through order dated 27.10.2025, in a time bound manner.

2. Learned State counsel as also learned counsel for respondent No.4 have no objection to the acceptance of the afore alternate prayer made on the petitioner's behalf.

3. After considering the petitioner's aforesaid alternate prayer, we find the same to be reasonable. Learned State counsel and learned counsel for respondent No.4 also have no objection to its acceptance. Therefore, we dispose of this petition with a direction to respondent No. 3 to decide the issue pending before it, in pursuant to respondent No.1's order dated 27.10.2025, within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order but only after affording opportunity of hearing to all affected parties and in accordance with law.

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

[RAMESH KUMARI]
JUDGE

27.03.2026
renubala

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No