

CWP-9374 of 2026

2026.PHHC.049126



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-9374 of 2026

Date of decision: 30.03.2026

Hardev Singh

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Ashok Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanav Singla, AAG, Punjab.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking a writ of certiorari for quashing the orders dated 20.06.2018 (Annexure P-9) and 28.12.2021 (Annexure P-10) vide which the petitioner is being paid 75% of provisional pension due to pendency of a criminal case and the said criminal case has now been culminated vide judgment dated 24.10.2024 (Annexure P-4) and appeal against the said judgment is pending in this Court. Further, a writ of mandamus has been sought commanding the respondents to pay full pension to the petitioner w.e.f. 01.03.2018, gratuity, leave encashment and ACP on completion of 14 years of service and pay arrears of the same along with interest @ 18% per annum.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that to claim the aforesaid benefit petitioner has moved representation dated 28.03.2025

(Annexure P-15), which is still pending consideration with the

CWP-9374 of 2026

2026.PHHC.049126



respondents. He submits that the petitioner may be given liberty to supplement the said representation and he would be satisfied if time-bound directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide the said representation along with the supplementary representation.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Kanav Singla, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and has no objection to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and gone through the record of the case.

6. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner as stated hereinabove and as narrated in the representation dated 28.03.2025 (Annexure P-15) and also in the supplementary representation, to be filed by the petitioner within a period of two weeks, in accordance with law, by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the supplementary representation.

7. The petition stands disposed of.

30.03.2026
R.S.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No