



142

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-1982-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 25.02.2026

Sushil Kumar

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Ashok Kumar

... Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN

Present : Mr. Daljeet Singh Randhawa, Advocate for
Mr. A.S. Manaise, Advocate for the petitioner.

ALKA SARIN, J. (Oral)

1. The present revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India challenging the impugned order dated 07.11.2024 (Annexure P-5) passed by the Appellate Authority, Gurdaspur whereby the *mesne* profits have been assessed as ₹6,000/- per month.

2. The impugned order was passed on 07.11.2024 and the present revision petition was preferred on 07.03.2025 and since then the same has been pending before this Court. Repeatedly adjournments are being sought by the learned counsel for the petitioner. Today also the learned counsel for the petitioner states that he has no lease deed to show the current market rate.

3. No other arguments have been addressed.

4. In the present case the eviction of the petitioner was directed vide order dated 25.07.2024 (Annexure P-1). Today the learned counsel for the petitioner is unable to apprise this Court as to whether any amount at all has been deposited towards *mesne* profits as directed vide order dated 07.11.2024.

Since no other argument has been raised except stating that the amount of *mesne* profits be reduced, no ground is made out to entertain the present revision petition and accordingly the same is dismissed. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed off.

25.02.2026
Yogesh Sharma

(ALKA SARIN)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking
Whether reportable: YES/NO