

2026:PIIIC:049882



131

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-9320-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.03.2026**

Vinod Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present: Mr. Ravinder Phogat, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, Senior DAG, Haryana.

HARSH BUNGER J. (ORAL)

Petition herein is, *inter alia*, seeking a writ in the nature of Mandamus, directing respondent No.2 – Deputy Commissioner, Charkhi Dadri, to decide the petitioner's representation dated 06.02.2026 (Annexure P-9).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has reiterated the averments made in the present writ petition.

3. Heard.

4. Upon perusal of the paper book, it is apparent that the petitioner is seeking a roving enquiry into the affairs of the Gram Panchayat, without placing on record any material particulars or supporting documents to substantiate the allegations made therein.

5. In “*Ashok Kumar Pandey v. State of W.B.*” 2004(3) SCC 349, the Hon’ble Apex Court held that petition seeking to make a roving enquiry on speculative foundations and premises, cannot be entertained.
6. In view of the above, the instant writ petition is dismissed.
7. All the pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

27.03.2026

Apurva

(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No