



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

220+236

CRM-M-16519-2026

Date of Decision:18.05.2026

DC ALIAS DC KUMAR

.....PETITIONER

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

CRM-M-25200-2026

ANURAG @ ANURAGE

.....PETITIONER

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present:- Ms. Suman Kumari, Advocate for the petitioner.
(in CRM-M-16519-2026)

Mr. Karanjeet Singh Brar, Advocate for the petitioner
(in CRM-M-25200-2026).

Mr. Raj Karan Singh, AAG, Punjab.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J.

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanita, 2023 seeking grant of regular bail to the petitioner(s) in case bearing FIR No.13 dated 12.01.2026, under Sections 118, 191(3), 190 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, registered at Police Station Sadar Fazilka, District Fazilka.

2. Succinctly the facts of the case are that complainant Sandeep Kumar son of Jagdish Ram @ Bhoop Ram has got registered the case with the allegations that he has studied upto B.A. 2nd year and gives Karate coaching in



the Govt. school. Complainant alleged that on 10.01.2026 at about 8:00 PM, he was standing on the bus stop of village Chawarianwali on Fazilka Malout road at the burger rehri to eat burger along with Nirmal Kumar. Complainant went for urination behind a kiosk of barber Kuldeep Kumar, then D.C. son of Krishan Kumar (petitioner in CRM-M-16519-2026) armed with kapa, Anurag (petitioner in CRM-M-25200-2026) armed with sword along with 2-3 unknown persons armed with wooden handles came on motor cycles and waylaid them. D.C. Kumar raised lalkara and gave kapa blow from the sharp side, which hit on the right hand of the complainant, whereas Anurag gave sword blow, which hit on the left hand. D.C. Kumar again gave kapa blow, which hit on the left hand fingers and complainant fell down on the ground, then unknown persons and Anurag inflicted injuries upon the complainant. Complainant further alleged that D.C. again gave kapa blow towards the forehead of complainant, when he was lying on the ground and he raised the right leg to rescue himself, then kapa hit on the backside of lower leg. Complainant raised hue and cry *mar-ditta mar-ditta*, then other person came on the spot. Complainant was later on admitted to hospital and then referred to AIIMS, Bathinda. On the basis of these allegations, FIR was registered and investigation commenced. The petitioner(s) approached the learned Sessions Judge, Fazilka, praying for grant of bail, however, finding no merit, the same was declined after hearing both the sides by Learned trial Court vide orders dated 22.04.2026 and 06.03.2026. Aggrieved by the same, the petitioner(s) are before this Court praying for grant of bail by way of filing of present petition.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) have contended that the petitioner(s) have been falsely implicated in the present case. He submits that



the alleged occurrence has taken place on 10.01.2026. The petitioner in CRM-M-25200-2026 Anurag was armed with sword whereas petitioner in CRM-M-16519-2026 DC @ DC Kumar was armed with *kapa*. He submits that both the petitioner(s) are teenagers and have no criminal antecedents. It is also contended that the injury alleged to Anurag was simple in nature whereas out of three injuries attributed to the petitioner DC @ DC Kumar, one of the injury was declared grievous. He submits that investigation is complete and challan has been presented. He thus, submits that in the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner(s) deserves to be granted bail.

4. Per contra, learned State counsel has opposed the submissions made by the counsel for the petitioner(s) and submits that the complicity of the petitioner(s) was duly established. During investigation, both the petitioners were duly armed and they caused 05 injuries to the complainant. He, on instructions, has submitted that investigation is complete and challan has been presented. He has produced the custody certificates of the petitioner(s) on record.

5. After hearing counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is deciphered that the injury attributed to the petitioner-Anurag was found to be simple in nature whereas one of injured attributed to the petitioner DC @ Dc Kumar is grievous. The custody certificate produced would show that the petitioner-Anurag has suffered an incarceration of 01 months and 14 days as on 15.05.2026. The custody certificate produced would show that the petitioner-DC @ DC Kumar has suffered an incarceration of 03 months and 09 days as on 16.05.2026. It further reflects that the petitioner(s) have no criminal antecedents. Investigation is complete and challan already stands presented.



6. The veracity of the allegations would be assessed only after conclusion of the trial and on the appreciation of evidence to be led by both the parties before the trial Court.

7. The trial of the case will take sufficiently long time. Thus, keeping in view the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is of the opinion that learned counsel for the petitioner(s) succeeds in making out a case for grant of regular bail to the petitioner(s). Accordingly, the present petitions are allowed and the petitioner(s) are ordered to be released on bail on their furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

8. A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of connected case.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

18.05.2026
pry

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No