

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

CWP-9398-2026

Date of Decision : April 07, 2026

SUKHJIT KUMAR AND OTHERS

-PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

-RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Baljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, A.A.G., Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. The relief yearned for in the instant writ petition appertains to the issuance of directions to the respondents to release the benefit of leave encashment to the petitioners by counting the entire service rendered by them on a daily wage/work-charged basis, followed by regularization, in view of the law laid down by this Court in ***CWP-19425-2017 (Sikander Singh vs. State of Punjab and others), decided on 10.02.2025***, which has been upheld by a Division Bench of this Court in ***LPA-2136-2025, decided on 21.08.2025***.

2. During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners fairly submits that, at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied in case a direction is issued to the competent respondent to decide the legal notice dated 16.09.2025 (Annexure P-7) within a stipulated time period.

3. Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, A.A.G., Punjab, who is in receipt of an advance copy of the writ petition and represents the respondents, submits that there is no objection in case a direction is issued to the competent

respondent to decide the legal notice (*supra*) within a stipulated time period.

4. In view of the aforesaid submissions, the instant writ petition is disposed of at the threshold, without expressing any opinion on the merits thereof, with a direction to the competent respondent, amongst the respondents, to consider and take a final decision on legal notice (*supra*) within four weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

5. **Disposed of accordingly.**

April 07, 2026
devinder

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No