



125 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-3375-2026

Date of decision: 25.03.2026

MANDEEP KAUR AND ANOTHER

...PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB THROUGH CHIEF SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Vishesh Bhatia, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (ORAL)

1. The prayer in the instant criminal writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing official respondent(s) to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondent(s) and to restrain them from interfering in peaceful life and liberty of the petitioners.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. Anup Singh, AAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepted notice on behalf of respondent-State and submitted that the competent authorities will take appropriate action on the representation (Annexure P-5) in accordance with law.

4. In this regard, this Court has already given the directions in '**Asha and another vs. State of Haryana and others**', 2012 SCC Online P&H 12746 and in compliance of the same, the State Government had taken steps to protect the life and liberty of run away couple.



5. Apart from that the State of Punjab vide notification dated 23.01.2025 also notified the SOPs for the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners in similar situations, in compliance of the ***Criminal Writ Petition No.12562 of 2023*** titled as ***“Kajal Vs. State of Haryana and others”***.

6. In light of above directions, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran to consider and to take necessary action on the representation dated 18.03.2026 (Annexure P-5), after assessing the threat perception to the petitioners, in accordance with law.

7. Disposed of.

March 25, 2026
manisha

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No