

CR-1996-2025

1

2026:PHHC:065526



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR-1996-2025

Date of decision : 29.04.2026

Prem Bhardwaj (since deceased) through his legal representatives

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Satish Kumar

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Munish Kumar Garg, Advocate and
Mr.Yuwan Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Tarun Singla, Advocate
for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. The suit in the present case has been filed by Prem Bhardwaj for recovery on the ground that he was the landlord and had inducted the respondent-Satish Kumar as a tenant and there was an agreement dated 09.05.2019 between the respondent and the said Prem Bhardwaj. The said Prem Bhardwaj died during the pendency of the case and the present petitioner(s) were impleaded as LR's for pursuing the case. Subsequently, an application for amendment was moved by the LR's to state that they also wanted to become the plaintiffs on the ground that they were owners of the property in question.



2. A perusal of the impugned order would show that it was noticed that an earlier order was passed in which it had been mentioned that the said amendment was not necessary or relevant for just and proper decision of the case as Prem Bhardwaj had filed the case on the basis of being the landlord and on the basis of agreement dated 09.05.2019 to which he and Satish Kumar were parties and not the present petitioner(s).

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) has submitted that in view of the said observations, the petitioner be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to the said Prem Bhardwaj through his legal representatives to pursue the suit on behalf of Prem Bhardwaj.

4. In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

April 29, 2026.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No