



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

161

CWP-9179-2026

Date of Decision: 25.03.2026

GN CONSTRUCTIONS

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Ribhav Chadha, Advocate for petitioner

Mr. Aman Dhir, D.A.G., Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent Nos.1 to 5 to initiate legal action against respondent No. 6 who is attempting to forcibly recover its vehicles. It is further seeking directions to restrain respondent No.5 from forceful recovery of vehicles and pay it compensation to the tune of Rs.1,00,000/-.

2. On 30.06.2023, the petitioner purchased nine vehicles and got them financed from respondent No.6 - M/s Tata Capital, Puda Complex, Jalandhar, Punjab. Due to financial constraints it failed to pay some of the installments. Default in paying installments was primarily due to severe floods in the State of Punjab. On account of said reason, recovery agents allegedly hired by respondent No.6 are attempting to forcibly detain petitioner's vehicles



while plying on the road. There have been repeated attempts to detain the vehicles in the past few months.

3. Learned State counsel submits that Police has not received any representation from the petitioner. If petitioner files representation, it would be considered in accordance with law.

4. In the wake of statement of learned State counsel, the petition stands disposed of with liberty to petitioner to approach police authorities for the redressal of its grievance. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on merit.

5. Pending Misc. application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

25.03.2026
SDK

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No