



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

270

CRM-M-35745-2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 12.01.2026

Harbhajan Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Supreet Singh, Advocate for
Mr. P.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Rahul Kumar Adia, AAG, Punjab

Mr. A.P. Kaushal, Advocate for respondent No.3

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.3 states that firstly the petition is not maintainable before this Court as the impugned order ought to have been challenged before the Court of Sessions by filing a revision, be that as it may, a suit for declaration by way of permanent injunction stands decided in his favour vide judgment dated 06.08.2019, as such the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

2. On the other hand, learned counsel for the petitioner withdraws the petition to challenge the order impugned before the Court of competent jurisdiction, if required and prays limitation may not come in his way.

3. Disposed of accordingly. In case, any petition is filed within a period of 4 weeks, the same be decided on merits in accordance with law.

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

12.01.2026

M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No