



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.246

CWP-8296-2023 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.04.2026

Surinder Pal

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA

Present: Mr. Neeraj Mann, Advocate
Mr. Nayandeep Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravi Partap Singh, DAG, Haryana.

DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA, J.(Oral)

1 In the present writ petition, the petitioner has challenged the order dated 31.01.2023 (Annexure P-1), vide which, the petitioner was ordered to be retired compulsorily from service w.e.f. 30.04.2023.

2. A perusal of the case file would show that there was an interim order dated 21.04.2023 passed by this Court, whereby, direction was given not to implement the impugned order dated 31.01.2023 (Annexure P-1). In terms of the abovesaid interim order, the petitioner has continued in service till the age of superannuation i.e. 58 years.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC-Saleem, office of Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, submits that in light of the interim order dated 21.04.2023 passed by this Court, the petitioner



continued in service till the age of superannuation i.e. 58 years. He further submits that taking into consideration the age of retirement as 58 years in the case of the petitioner, all retiral benefits have been released to the petitioner. As such, the present writ petition has rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of.

4. In light of the above statement given by the learned State counsel, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to file an application for revival of the writ petition, if any cause still survives.

5. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)
JUDGE

21.04.2026

Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes/ No