



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

**CRM-M No.16857 of 2026
Date of decision : 4.5.2026
Date of uploading : 5.5.2026**

Simranjeet Kaur and another**Petitioners**
Versus
State of Haryana**Respondent**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Ms. Sundeep Kaur, Advocate, for
Mr. S.S. Jattan, Advocate, for the petitioners

Ms. Priyanka Sadar, Senior DAG, Haryana

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 27.3.2026, the following order was passed:

‘Apprehending their arrest in FIR No. 35 dated 14.02.2026 (Annexure P-1) under Section 308(2), 351(2) of BNS 2023, registered at Police Station Baldev Nagar, District Ambala; the petitioners have preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

Counsel for the petitioners, inter alia, contends that the FIR in question is fall out of a money dispute, the petitioners have been falsely implicated into the FIR in question, the petitioners-side had got a legal notice issued before the registration of the FIR in question, the petitioner No.1 is a lady aged 53 years, the petitioner No.2 is a man with clean antecedents, & the petitioners are willing to join investigation and cooperate therein as per law.

Notice of motion.

On the strength of advance notice; Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana has entered appearance on behalf of the respondent-State of Haryana.

Adjourned to 28.04.2026.



The petitioners are directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 02.04.2026 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be released on interim bail subject to their furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioners shall join the investigation. They shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.'

2. Learned State counsel (on instructions) submits that pursuant to the order dated 27.3.2026, the petitioners have joined investigation but their custodial interrogation is required for recovering cheques in question.

3. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the record, especially keeping in view the factum that the petitioners have joined investigation and their custodial interrogation is sought only for recovery of the cheques in question; this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 27.3.2026.

4. In view of the above, the instant petition is allowed. The interim order dated 27.3.2026, passed by this Court is hereby confirmed, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

5. This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read granting petitioners indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

6. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any



other sufficient cause.

7. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

4.5.2026
Ashwani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No