

2026.PHHC:047914



141.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-9144-2026

Date of decision: 25.03.2026

Meenu and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Onkar Singh Batalvi, Advocate, and
Mr. Damanjeet Singh Batalvi, Advocate, for the petitioners.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of certiorari, for quashing the order dated 29.11.2024 (Annexure P-1), passed by respondent No.2, whereby the process of station allotment qua the petitioners, who were promoted, has been followed in an discriminatory, arbitrary and non-transparent manner. Further, seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider and grant posting/transfer of the petitioners against some nearby vacant station to their residence, as allowed to other similarly situated employees.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that for redressal of their grievance, the petitioners have also submitted representation dated 27.11.2025 (Annexure P-2) to the respondents which is still pending

consideration. He further submits that at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied, if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.2 to consider and decide said representation, by passing a speaking order, in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Kanav Singla, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State and has no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.
6. Without going into the merits of the case at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide representation dated 27.11.2025 (Annexure P-2) submitted by the petitioners expeditiously, by passing a speaking order after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within a period of 02 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereon shall be conveyed to the petitioners. Liberty is granted to the petitioners to supplement the said representation within a period of 02 weeks.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

25.03.2026
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No